

## REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Patent Office for the careful attention accorded this Application and respectfully request reconsideration in view of the Amendment above and remarks set forth below.

In response to the Office Action mailed December 11, 2009, Applicant has amended Claims 49, 57, 60 and 68 to avoid any basis for rejection under 35 USC 112.

Applicant also hereby submits a replacement Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection in view of copending Application Nos. 10/812,341; 11/823,828; 10/058,970; and 09/695,744. Applicant has expressly abandoned US Application Nos. 11/804,769; 10/876,261; 10/602,990; 10/059,076; 10/693,856 and 09/716,848.

In response to the objection to the screen shots in the Drawings, Applicant is preparing an amendment to the Specification and Drawings, and will file the same under separate cover.


Applicant firmly believes that present invention defined by amended Claims 49-68, is neither anticipated by, nor rendered obvious in view of the prior art of record, and that the present application is now in condition for allowance.

Favorable action is earnestly solicited.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiencies to Deposit Account 16-1340.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 10, 2010



Thomas J Perkowski, Esq.

Attorney for Applicant

Reg. No. 33,134

Thomas J. Perkowski, Esq., P.C.

22 Thorndal Circle

Darien, Connecticut 06820

203-357-1950

<http://www.tjpatlaw.com>